

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/786,604	/786,604 02/25/2004		Edwin F. Barry	800.0140	2817	
27997	7590	07/12/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		TEIN PLLC	KIM, KENNETH S			
5015 SOUTHPARK DRIVE SUITE 230				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DURHAM,		713-7736	2111			
				DATE MAILED: 07/12/2003	DATE MAILED: 07/12/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	A						
	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Office Action Comments	10/786,604	BARRY ET AL.					
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Kenneth S. KIM	2111					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25	February 2004.						
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdreds 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examination The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the correct	rawn from consideration. for election requirement. her. ccepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. ection is required if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). s objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date		mary (PTO-413) ail Date nal Patent Application (PTO-152)					

Application/Control Number: 10/786,604 Page 2

Art Unit: 2111

1. Claims 1-11 are presented for examination.

- 2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because the current abstract does not reflect the inventive feature of the claimed invention to distinguish over the prior art. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).
- 3. Applicant is requested to identify (or add) a figure best representing the claimed invention.
- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- (a) Claim 1, there is no event detection or action specification in the body of the claim.
- (b) Claim 1, it is not clear what is meant by "EP".
- (c) Claim 1, it is not clear what is the utility of the chaining apparatus.
- (d) Claim 1, it is not clear what the interrupt signals are used for.
- (e) Claim 7, the same as (a), (c), and (d).
- 6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Application/Control Number: 10/786,604 Page 3

Art Unit: 2111

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 7. Claims 7-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gardner et al, U.S. Patent No. 5,216,751.

Gardner et al teaches the invention as claimed in claim 7 including an eventpoint chaining apparatus for generalized event detection and action specification in a processing environment comprising:

(a) a processing element (10) having at least a first and a second programmable eventpoint module (with various inputs and outputs) each with an input trigger (InTrig) input and two outputs, one which produces an OutTrigger (OT) signal and one which produces an eventpoint (EP) interrupt signal (can have any type of output signal; fig. 2), (b) the In-Trig of the second eventpoint module connected to the OT output of the first eventpoint module and the InTrig of the first eventpoint module connected to the OT output of the second eventpoint module for the purpose of chaining eventpoints within the processing element (fig. 1 with only two neuron slices or each neuron slice pair), and

further teaches as in claims 8-11,

Art Unit: 2111

- (c) wherein the processing element is a sequence processor (can be any device) claim 8,
- (d) wherein each eventpoint module is for an instruction eventpoint or a data eventpoint (can be any item) claims 9-11,
- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

VanHuben et al taught a method of using an event monitor.

Husted et al taught a method of controlling event trigger and action.

Terada et al taught a data chaining apparatus.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kenneth S KIM whose telephone number is (571) 272-3627. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:30-17:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Rinehart can be reached on (571) 272-3632. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9306 for regular communications and (703) 872-9306 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-2100.

July 7, 2005

KENNETH'S. KIMP PRIMARY EXAMINER